



TELANGANA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
5th Floor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad-500 004

R. P. (SR) No. 46 of 2016
in
O. P. Nos. 6 & 7 of 2016

Dated 21.12.2017

Present

Sri. Ismail Ali Khan, Chairman
Sri. H. Srinivasulu, Member

Between:

M/s. Salasar Iron and Steel Private Limited,
Flat No. 101, 1st Floor, Satya Sarovar Complex,
High Court Road, Hyderabad – 500 002.

... Petitioner

And

1. Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited,
Mint Compound, Hyderabad – 500 034.
2. Northern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited,
H. No. 2-5-31 / 2, Corporate Office, Vidyut Bhavan,
Nakkalgutta, Hanammkonda, Warangal – 506 001.

... Respondents.

This petition came up for hearing on 14.06.2017, 02.11.2017 and 19.12.2017. Sri. N. Vinesh Raj, Counsel for the petitioner appeared on 14.06.2017, 02.11.2017 and 19.12.2017. Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents appeared on 14.06.2017, Smt. Nanditha alongwith Ms. Pravalika, Advocates representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents appeared on 02.11.2017 and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents alongwith Ms. Pravalika, Advocate appeared on 19.12.2017. The petition having stood for consideration to this day, the Commission passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner had filed the review petition under clause 32 (1) of the Conduct of Business Regulation, 2015 being the Regulation No. 2 of 2015 seeking the following prayer.

- “(i) To review the order dated 23.06.2016 passed in respect of retail supply tariffs in O. P. No. 6 of 2016 in respect of TSSPDCL for FY 2016-17 for the period from 01.07.2016 to 31.03.2017 duly taking into consideration the facts and grounds of petition;
- (ii) To set aside the billing of energy charges on KVAH to HT consumers; and
- (iii) To direct the respondents to bill energy charges on KWH supply only during the period from 01.07.2016 to 31.03.2017.”

2. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the issue in the review petition is under the challenge before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, on the instructions of the petitioner, he wishes to withdraw the review petition. The counsel for the respondents has no objection for it.

Accordingly, the review petition at S.R. stage is dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the endorsement made by the counsel for the petitioner. The parties shall bear their own costs.

This order is corrected and signed on this the 21st day of December, 2017.

Sd/-
(H. SRINIVASULU)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(ISMAIL ALI KHAN)
CHAIRMAN

//CERTIFIED COPY//